



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

**MAILED**

Docket Clerk  
P.O. Drawer 800889  
Dallas, TX 75380

NOV 04 2004

**DIRECTOR'S OFFICE**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

In re application of	:	<b>DECISION ON PETITION</b>
David Boyd Whitten, et al.	:	<b>TO MAKE SPECIAL</b>
Application No. 10/796,428	:	<b>(INFRINGEMENT)</b>
Filed: March 9, 2004	:	
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR		
ACCOMPLISHING PRODUCT DETECTION		

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) filed June 17, 2004 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed June 17, 2004 meets all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

With regards to element 2(C) above, an Information Disclosure Statement was filed on June 17, 2004.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt *bona fide* effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.



---

Kenneth J. Dorner  
Special Programs Examiner  
Technology Center 3600  
(703) 308-0866

KJD/rwg: 11/01/04